Fine structure line deficit in S140

Volker Ossenkopf, Evgenia Koumpia, Yoko Okada, Bhaswati Mookerjea, Floris van der Tak, Robert Simon, Rolf Güsten

KOSMA (Kölner Observatorium für SubMm Astronomie), I. Physikalisches Institut, Universität zu Köln



SOFIA teletalk

Overview

- S140
- Observational data
 - GREAT observations: [CII], [OI], high-J CO
 - Complementary data
 - Properties of the main emission source
 - > The cooling budget
 - The fine-structure line deficit
- PDR modelling
 - Standard plane-parallel models
 - Spherical models and beam filling
 - General explanation for fine-structure line deficit



Well-studied molecular cloud:

• External PDR (G₀≈300) and deeply embedded star-formation (IRS1-3):



S140

Herschel/PACS and SOFIA/FORECAST observations:

- IRS1 as the central source with $10000 L_{\odot}$
- Drives molecular outflow (Maud et al. 2013)



FORECAST map (11, 31, 37µm)



SOFIA teletalk

S140

Herschel/HIFI observations of [CII] and many other lines:

- Confirm outflow from IRS1 prominent on CO
- [CII] strong at interface, weaker, but pronounced at IRS1



GREAT observations

First [OI] 63µm observations - H-channel commissioning 2014:

 [OI] strongly peaked, but peak offset by 20" from IRS1

[OI] integrated intensity

Volker Ossenkopf, KOSMA



GREAT observations

[OI] peak confirmed by [CII] map and comparison to PACS:

 Both fine structure lines do NOT peak at the main source (IRS1) but 20" north, close to IRS2



Integrated [OI] (colours) and [CII] contours

CO lines

GREAT observations of CO 16-15, IRAM 30m maps of low-J CO

- Low-J lines peak around at IRS1
- CO 16-15 extended between IRS1 and IRS2



CO 2-1 with contours of [CII] (peak intensity) (Koumpia et al. 2015)

Volker Ossenkopf, KOSMA

Dec

SOFIA teletalk

Line profiles

[OI] with clear self-absorption, [CII] also partially optically thick

Different velocity components towards IRS2 and interface+IRS1



Source properties

Fit of peak by Gaussian intensity profile

- Resolved in [OI]: FWHM = 8.3" = 0.03pc,
 - [OI]: 76 K km/s \rightarrow 0.28 L $_{\odot}$
 - [CII]: 212 K km/s \rightarrow 0.05 L $_{\odot}$
 - CO 16-15: 46 K km/s \rightarrow 0.01 L $_{\odot}$ compare IRS2 luminosity: 2000 L $_{\odot}$



Original maps of [OI], [CII], CO 16-15 (contours) and after source subtraction (colors)

Volker Ossenkopf, KOSMA

SOFIA teletalk

 $M = 2.3 M_{\odot}$

10

Combination with continuum data

Herschel/PACS, SOFIA/FORCAST, JCMT/SCUBA observations:

- Allow to measure full infrared continuum luminosity
- Access to full energy balance when including CO lines



FORCAST map (11, 31, 37µm)

CO line SED from IRAM+HIFI+SOFIA

Cooling balance

Ratio between line and continuum cooling

- Should measure gas heating efficiency (typical values: 10⁻³ 10⁻²)
- IRS1/2/3: factor 100 lower than in most Galactic sources
- Matches line deficit in ULIRGS



12

- IRS1:
 - Main energy source of the region: L = 10000 L $_{\odot}$
 - produces almost no [CII] and [OI]
- IRS2:
 - -L = 2000 L $_{\odot}$
 - Prominent [CII] and [OI] peak, spatially well confined and resolved
 - Velocity offset from main cloud (-6.5km/s instead of -8 km/s)
- Interface:
 - Prominent in [CII]
 - Low [OI], probably due to low density
- Whole cluster:
 - Extremely low line to continuum ratio: line deficit

Interpretation in terms of classical PDR model

• C⁺ and atomic oxygen produced in UV-illuminated clouds



PDR model interpretation

Comparison with plane-parallel PDR model (Kaufman 1999)

- [OI]/[CII] ratio:
 - 3.0 at IRS1, 2.7 at IRS2



∆ô [arcsec]

 $[0 I] 63 \mu m / [C II] 158 \mu m$

PDR model interpretation

Comparison with plane-parallel PDR model (Kaufman 1999)



[CII] intensity (colors) and [OI]+[CII] intensity (contours from 0.0005...0.005)

Volker Ossenkopf, KOSMA

PDR model interpretation

Comparison with plane-parallel PDR model (Kaufman 1999)

- ([CII]+[OI])/FIR
 - 2 10⁻⁵ at IRS1, 2 10⁻⁴ at IRS2
 - -> 0.02 at interface





Volker Ossenkopf, KOSMA

n (cm⁻³)

PDR-model interpretation

- Interface:
 - Inclination by 83° needed to explain strong [CII] emission
 - Consistent with external PDR
 - But requires [OI] density gradient



- IRS1:
 - > FS lines suggest density of 300 cm⁻³, dust emission requires 10⁶ cm⁻³
- IRS2:
 - [CII] intensity requires 10⁵ cm⁻³, dust 10⁶ cm⁻³, [OI] 300 cm⁻³

Explanation: Embedded PDRs not plane-parallel and extended!

Source geometry

IRS2 PDR shows spherical structure Ø = 6.6"

- Compare with spherical PDR model
 - KOSMA- τ :
 - Finite source size spherical geometry
 - Chemical layering
 - Temperature gradient from energy balance
 - $-G_0 = 1.7 \times 10^5$
 - Density constrained by dust continuum: 10⁵ cm⁻³ - 10⁶ cm⁻³



Spherical PDR

Radiative transfer results

- Two limiting KOSMA- τ models: 10⁶ cm⁻³ and 10⁵ cm⁻³
- Continuum ignored



- [CII] stronger than in IRS1, but weaker than in IRS2
 - Main effect: beam filling
- [OI] much stronger than observed

H⁺.C

H,C⁺ H.,C

UV field is internal!

- Inverse layering
 - Hot C⁺ and oxygen inside, cold outside





Embedded HII regions from radio continuum: Tofani et al. (1995), Hoare (2006): $D \le 0.5$ "

Toy model for internally irradiated PDR: KOSMA-τ with inverse layering

Radiative transfer results

 KOSMA-τ toy model with inverse chemical and temperature layering



- [CII] perfect match if IRS1 has 10⁶ cm⁻³, IRS2 10⁵ cm⁻³
- Heavy self-absorption in [OI] reduces intensity
 - Profiles not matching yet

Refinement



- Increasing velocity dispersion avoids sharp self-absorption feature
- [OI] intensity reduced to observed values
- More fine-tuning needed

- Line deficit can be explained by high density and small PDR size
 - No significant UV leakage
- IRS1:
 - Very low line to continuum ratio: extreme line deficit
 - Requires denser and smaller PDR than inferred from the dust
 - R < 0.005pc, n > 10⁶ cm⁻³
- IRS2:
 - Source geometry constrained from resolved spatial structure
 - R=0.015pc, n > 10⁵ cm⁻³
 - Consistent with observed source properties
- No full match of observed line intensities and profiles yet
 - Parameter fit needed for good match of lines

Conclusion

- S140 modelling \rightarrow Two-conditions for line deficit:
 - 1) [CII]: PDRs in small dense cores for a low beam filling

• Size of HII region:
$$R_{\rm s} = 0.68 \, {\rm pc} \left(\frac{Q}{10^{49} \, {\rm s}^{-1}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{T_{*}}{10^{4} \, {\rm K}}\right)^{0.28} \left(\frac{n}{10^{3} \, {\rm cm}^{-3}}\right)^{-2/3}$$

Consistent with observations (IRS1: ≈ 0.001pc, IRS2: < 0.0005pc)</p>

• Size of total PDR: $R_{\rm S} + A_V \approx 2$ layer: $A_{\rm fs} = \pi \left(R_{\rm s} + \frac{3.8 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}}{n} \right)$

> PDR sizes: IRS1: 0.005~pc, IRS2: 0.002~pc

- Open question: Resolved size of IRS2 somewhat larger
 - Due to multiple sources/PDRs?
- 2) [OI]: Radial gradient in excitation temperature
- Zero integrated intensity easily obtained from foreground absorption trunk matching wing emission → Velocity information is crucial!
- Zero intensity in velocity resolved line needs radially increasing line width

Draine (2011)

- S140 is an "ultraluminous source" in Galactic context
 - \rightarrow S140 may provide general explanation for line deficit in ULIRGS



- Main gas cooling in these regions NOT though fine structure lines but
- Direct recombination
- Gas-dust collisions with dust continuum emission

SOFIA teletalk

Thank you for your attention.



Cross comparison with Herschel



Volker Ossenkopf, KOSMA

SOFIA teletalk

Cross comparison with Herschel

[OI]

PACS

- Spatial structure fits
- GREAT intensities tend to be too low



